Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. The location and visually interpreted score for validation plots. The pressures within the 3114 1km² validation plots were interpreted and scored following Supplementary note 1.



Supplementary Fig. 2. Absolute change in the human footprint from 1993 to 2009 for the top 50% of countries for GDP growth per person (n = 73, linear model, z = -2.03, p = 0.04) for the same period, compared to each country's control of corruption.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Human footprint change and socio-economic variables. Results for the most parsimonious general linear model explaining the human footprint trajectories of the 73 countries that had the greatest GDP at PPP per person change between 1993 and 2009. Explanatory variables assessed include: country area, GDP at PPP per person in 2009, corruption of control, proportion of country under protection, net trade in agricultural and forestry products (calculated as the sum of value of agricultural and forestry exports minus that of imports), the proportion of population in urban areas and non-income HDI.

Coefficients	Estimate	Std error	Z value	Pr (> z)
Intercept	2.0205	0.8220	2.46	0.014*
Percent urban	-0.0209	0.0117	-1.79	0.073
Control of				
corruption	-0.3576	0.1766	-2.03	0.043*

Supplementary Table 2. Sensitivity of human footprint to static data sets. The change in human footprint from 1993 to 2009 using static and dynamic pasture data for countries grouped by OECD income categories.

OECD category	HF change with static pasture	HF change with dynamic pasture
Low income	0.698	0.709
Lower middle income	1.026	1.047
Upper middle income	0.629	0.626
High income	0.478	0.461

Supplementary note 1. Visual interpretation of satellite images for mapping human pressures

When interpreting images, interpreters can zoom in and pan to identify pressures. For sample areas where only coarse scale Landsat images are available, these images can be used if it is deemed that they are sufficient to allow classification for the area, which may be possible in highly green wilderness areas. Otherwise, the sample should be marked as 'na'. If the shape matches expectations, cleared patches with bare ground are assigned the land cover category of the wider landscape, eg. urban, forestry or crops. This is done as bare ground across a plot within farm land is likely to be tilled farmland, likewise a brown patch in a forested landscape is likely to be a recently felled clear cut. Distinguishing between crops and pasture is a challenge, zooming in to look for linear planting or fence lines or signs of cattle or their feeding/drinking points may help. Some land cover types are not mutually exclusive, for instance, urban areas may also be scored as high density for roads and human dwellings. Crops, pasture, urban and forestry are mutually exclusive at a site, but can co-occur within a lkm² sample area. Following visual interpretation, interpreters should mark their interpretation as 'certain' or 'not certain'. Certain means that 95% of the time you will be right. The year of images is accessed for all samples using the information tool and recorded.

The samples are selected using a random sampling. Those are automatically overlaid with ESRI high resolution images within ArcGIS 10.1, allowing a rapid access to recent remote sensing images with zooming capabilities. For a given sample the expert saw not only the sample point but also a box that coincided with the so-called observational unit and its quadrats.

Pressure	Description	Scoring
Urban	Built environments are human produced areas that	None $= 0$,
	provide the setting for human activity. These are	sparse = 1, <12.5%
	primarily urban settings, including buildings, paved land	medium = 2, >12.5%
	and urban parks, and excludes isolated roads and isolated	dense = $3, >50\%$
	housing. They are easily identified by sharp contrasts in	
	reasonischie human constructed shapes % huilt?	
	Definition of urban Urban park is a highly managed	
	natural vegetation	







Forestry	Harvesting of natural or plantation forest. Can be clear- fell harvesting, common in temperate forests, or selective logging, common in the tropics. Clear-fell harvesting characterized by large patches of felled forest of often irregular shape following topographic features. Selective harvesting characterized by much smaller harvest patches, a network of dirt roads with noticeable small cleared areas with dirt surface used for landing logging. Selective logging common in the tropics. Plantation forests can be distinguished by their uniform tree cover, and sometimes linear planting rows.	None = 0, sparse = 1, <12.5% medium = 2, >12.5% dense = 3, >50%
Clear cut logging in British Columbia, Canada	Flear cut logging in Russia.	Selective logging in Indonesian Borneo



Infrastructure	Non-urban, housing, road or rural infrastructure. Includes	None $= 0$,
	Mining infrastructure, dam infrastructure, rail, and other	sparse = 1, <12.5%
	linear features such as pipelines.	medium = 2, >12.5%
		dense = 3, >50%
		and for linear infrastructure: None = 0, sparse = 1, at least one road visible modium -2 mode with length that transmiss the image
		medium = 2 , roads with length that traverses the image
		dense -3 roads with length that traverses the image 5
		times
Mining site sub-Saharan Africa	Industrial and dam infrastructure in China	Electricity transmission line in Australia
Navigable waterways	Navigable waterways appear wide and deep enough for a	None $= 0$,
	vessel to travel, and lack impassable areas of whitewater.	sparse $= 1$, at least one navigable waterway
	Signs of human activity along the shoreline, such as	medium $= 2$, navigable waterways with length that
	human structures or roads leading to the water within	traverses the image twice
	40km of the sample plot mean the waterway is likely to	dense = 3 , navigable waterways with length that traverses
		the mage 5 times