Randomized Code:
Section I: Basic information
1. Please select your Stanford student affiliation
MD student       Graduate student       MD/PhD       Other
2. Please enter the year you matriculated in the Stanford program indicated above:
3. Which of the following genetics courses have you taken in the past?
<ul> <li>Genetics 202</li> <li>Genetics 203</li> <li>Genetics 271</li> <li>None of the above</li> </ul>
4. Have you undergone personal genotyping in the past?
Yes No
If you answered <b>yes</b> , which company performed your personal genotyping?
<ul> <li>23andMe</li> <li>Coriell Institute</li> <li>deCODEme</li> <li>DNA Direct</li> <li>Navigenics</li> <li>Pathway Genomics</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

5. Do you plan to undergo personal genotyping through the optional Stanford genotyping program in this course?

Yes No Not sure

#### Section II: Attitudes about personal genotyping

1. If you were to undergo personal genotyping, would you ask a health care provider for help in interpreting the results?

Yes No

If yes, which type of healthcare provider (check all that apply):

My primary physician (internist)

A subspecialty physician (e.g. cardiologist, neurologist)

A geneticist

A genetic counselor

It would depend on the results

2. If you were to undergo personal genotyping, would you share your results with your physician?

Yes, regardless of my results
Only if I were at high risk for something
Only if I were not at high risk for something
No

3. Would you, at this time, recommend a personal genotyping test for a patient?

Yes	🗌 No
-----	------

If you answered yes, why (check all that apply)?

To satisfy general curiosity about their genetic make-up

To see if a specific disease runs in their family or is in their DNA

To learn about their genetic make-up without going through a physician

To inform family members of health risks

Individuals have a right to know their genetic make-up if a service is available Other

If you answered **no**, why not (check all that apply)?

Limited clinical validity

Limited clinical utility

Accuracy of genotype data

Quality of data analysis / interpretation

Array of SNPs / conditions tested

Price

Individuals have a limited ability to understand and interpret their test results

Not enough trained health care providers to help them interpret results Other
4. I understand the risks and benefits of using personal genome testing services
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
5. I know enough about genetics to understand personal genome test results
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
6. Personal genomics will likely play an important role in my future career
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
7. Most physicians have enough knowledge to help individuals interpret results of personal genome tests
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
8. Most people can accurately interpret their personal genome test results
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
9. Personal genome testing companies provide an accurate analysis and interpretation of genotype data
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
10. Personal genome testing companies should be regulated by the federal government (i.e., by the Food and Drug Administration)
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

#### Section III: Knowledge of personal genomic testing

Please select the most appropriate answer choice.

1. In an article published this year in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, whole-genome sequencing was performed on an individual with autosomal recessive Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease. Mutations in the *SH3TC2* gene were identified as the causal mutations. The genotype of the proband was R954X/Y169H. The genetic basis of CMT discovered in this family is an example of:

(a) variable expressivity

(b) incomplete penetrance

(c) genetic mosaicism

(d) compound heterozygosity

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

2. A 34-year-old female of Northern European ancestry presents in your clinic with a strong family history of breast and ovarian cancer on both sides of the family. She brings along the results of her personal genomics testing by a direct-to-consumer (DTC) genetics company. Your patient's DTC genetics profile indicates she does *not* carry any of the 3 *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations tested. Additionally, based on 3 other tested SNPs found to be associated with breast cancer in recent genome-wide association studies, her report reveals a risk of 9.1% compared to the average of 12.5%. What is the appropriate conclusion?

(a) The patient has below-average risk but should continue regular screening measures

(b) The patient has the same risk of breast cancer as the average woman of her ethnicity and should continue regular screening measures

(c) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* gene sequenced (d) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes sequenced

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

3. The patient in question 3 is diagnosed with invasive breast cancer at age 38. Her cancer is most likely due to:

(a) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA1

(b) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA2

(c) an inherited non-founder mutation in BRCA1

- (d) non-hereditary factors
- (e) I do not know the answer to this question

#### Questions 4-7 pertain to the following clinical scenario

Patient A is a 45-year-old white male who presents to your clinic with an unremarkable medical history. He has recently undergone personal genotyping by a DTC genetics company and comes to your office concerned about his risk of getting a heart attack.

According to the company, "numerous SNPs associated with one's chances of a heart attack have been found in the chromosomal region 9p21. [We] report your results for a SNP that is linked to the most strongly associated SNP in this region."

Your patient's genotype for this SNP (rs2383207) is G/G and the DTC genetics company calculates his risk of a heart attack to be elevated at 24.8%, compared to the average risk of 21.2% for men of European descent in the United States.

Based on individuals of European descent genotyped as part of the International HapMap project, the following table shows the genotype frequencies for rs2383207 in the population.

	A/A	A/G	G/G	Total
Frequency	0.183	0.583	0.233	1.00

4. Only taking into account his genotype at this one SNP, what is the **odds ratio** for Patient A getting a heart attack compared to the average man of European descent in the United States?

(a) 1.0

(b) 1.17

(c) 1.23

(d) 1.27

(e) I do not know how to calculate this

5. Patient A has two brothers under your medical care, B and C, both of whom also undergo personal genotyping. Patient B has genotype A/A at rs2383207 and his report indicates a risk of heart attack of 17.5% (i.e.,  $P(D \mid "A/A") = 0.175$ ). Patient C's genotype is A/G. Given your knowledge of the average risk for men of European descent, and of the genotype frequencies at rs2383207 in the European population, what would you expect patient C's risk to be? In other words, what is  $P(D \mid "A/G")$ ?

(a) 17.9%
(b) 20.0%
(c) 21.0%
(d) 21.2%
(e) I do not know how to calculate this

6. Based on their genetics reports, Patient B gloats that his risk for getting a heart attack is less than his brother, Patient A. You look at your medical charts and note that Patient B's total cholesterol level is 250 mg/dL, while Patient A's total cholesterol level is 180 mg/dL. Total cholesterol levels are classified as:

Total cholesterol, mg/dL (mmol/L)		
<200 (5.17)	Desirable	
200 to 239 (5.17 to 6.18)	Borderline High	
≥240 (6.20)	High	

[Adult Treatment Panel III classification. Circulation 2002]

A prospective cohort study examining the relationship between baseline total cholesterol levels and myocardial infarctions among middle-aged men in the United States shows that the risk of MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  is approximately 4 times that of men with cholesterol levels < 200 mg/dL [Stamler et al. *JAMA* 2000]. The mean cholesterol level of subjects in this study was 189.9 mg/dL. Thus, you can assume the odds ratio for an MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  compared to the average man is 4.0.

Again, Patient B's genotype at rs2383207 is "A/A" and P(D | "A/A") = 0.175, and the average man has P(D) = 0.212. Integrate patient B's genetic risk with his environmental risk. Assuming each risk factor is statistically independent, what is patient B's risk of getting a heart attack, taking into account his genotype at rs2383207, and his cholesterol level of 250 mg/dL?

(a) 45.9%

- (b) 52.6%
- (c) 70.0%
- (d) 84.8%
- (e) I do not know how to calculate this

7. List at least 3 reasons why using one's genotype at rs2383207 to assess risk of a myocardial infarction can be problematic:

- rs238207 has modest odds ratio for MI
- Family history is stronger predictor than SNPs for genetic risk
- Only one SNP being used to assess risk, does not capture all of heritability
- rs2383207 is not the GWAS-identified SNP or disease-causing, and linkage disequilibrium blocks may differ from population to population

- Non-genetic risk factors play a large role in one's risk for MI

Randomized Code: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Section I: Basic information

1. How many class meetings of Genetics 210 did you attend?

$\Box 0$	$\Box 1 \Box 2$	$\Box 3 \Box 4$	$\Box 5 \Box 6$	$\Box 7 \Box 8$

#### Section II: Attitudes about personal genotyping

1. If you were to ever undergo personal genotyping in the future, would you ask a health care provider for help in interpreting the results?

Yes No

If yes, which type of healthcare provider (check all that apply):

_				
		•	1	/• , • ,`
	N/I T 7	nrimorri	nh1/01010 1	intorniat
			DHVSICIALL	memsi
	1 V I Y	printing y	physician	monnot
_	-1			

- A subspecialty physician (e.g. cardiologist, neurologist)
- A geneticist
- A genetic counselor
- It would depend on the results

2. If you were to ever undergo personal genotyping in the future, would you share your results with your physician?

Yes, regardless of my results

Only if I were at high risk for something

Only if I were not at high risk for something

No

3. Would you, at this time, recommend a personal genotyping test for a patient?

Yes	🗌 No
-----	------

If you answered **yes**, why (check all that apply)?

To satisfy general curiosity about their genetic make-up

To see if a specific disease runs in their family or is in their DNA

To learn about their genetic make-up without going through a physician

To inform family members of health risks

Individuals have a right to know their genetic make-up if a service is available Other

If you answered **no**, why not (check all that apply)?

Limited clinical validity
Limited clinical utility
Accuracy of genotype data
Quality of data analysis / interpretation
Array of SNPs / conditions tested
Price Price
Individuals have a limited ability to understand and interpret their test results
Not enough trained health care providers to help them interpret results
Other

4. How would you describe your understanding of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD)?

I have never heard of PGD

I have heard of PGD, but I don't really understand what it is.

I have heard of PGD, but I don't know enough about it to make a decision about whether or not I would consider it

I have heard of PGD, and I know enough about it to make a decision about whether or not I would consider it

5. Under which of the following scenarios, if any, would you consider undergoing PGD? (check all that apply)

You learned you had a highly penetrant cancer-associated mutation (e.g., BRCA mutation, MSH mutation)

You learned you were a carrier for a Mendelian disorder (e.g., cystic fibrosis, Tay-Sach's disease)

You learned you had a significantly increased risk for a complex disease (e.g. diabetes, heart disease)

6. For scenarios under which you *would not* consider undergoing PGD, which of the following most reflects your reason for not considering PGD?

Low probability that your child would be affected by the disease

Concern about danger to the embryo from biopsy required by PGD

Ethical concerns about selecting embryos using genetics

] The high cost of PGD and *in vitro* fertilization

Other \_\_\_\_\_

7. I understand the risks and benefits of using personal genome testing services

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor d	lisagree Agree	Strongly agree
--	-------------------	----------	---------------------	----------------	----------------

8. I know enough about genetics to understand personal genome test results

Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

9. Personal genomics will likely play an important role in my future career
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
10. Most physicians have enough knowledge to help individuals interpret results of personal genome tests
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
11. Most people can accurately interpret their personal genome test results
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
12. Personal genome testing companies provide an accurate analysis and interpretation of genotype data
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
13. Personal genome testing companies should be regulated by the federal government (i.e., by the Food and Drug Administration)
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

#### Section III: Knowledge of personal genomic testing

Please select the most appropriate answer choice.

1. In an article published this year in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, whole-genome sequencing was performed on an individual with autosomal recessive Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease. Mutations in the *SH3TC2* gene were identified as the causal mutations. The genotype of the proband was R954X/Y169H. The genetic basis of CMT discovered in this family is an example of:

(a) variable expressivity

(b) incomplete penetrance

(c) genetic mosaicism

(d) compound heterozygosity

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

2. A 34-year-old female of Northern European ancestry presents in your clinic with a strong family history of breast and ovarian cancer on both sides of the family. She brings along the results of her personal genomics testing by a direct-to-consumer (DTC) genetics company. Your patient's DTC genetics profile indicates she does *not* carry any of the 3 *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations tested. Additionally, based on 3 other tested SNPs found to be associated with breast cancer in recent genome-wide association studies, her report reveals a risk of 9.1% compared to the average of 12.5%. What is the appropriate conclusion?

(a) The patient has below-average risk but should continue regular screening measures

(b) The patient has the same risk of breast cancer as the average woman of her ethnicity and should continue regular screening measures

(c) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* gene sequenced (d) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes sequenced

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

3. The patient in question 3 is diagnosed with invasive breast cancer at age 38. Her cancer is most likely due to:

(a) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA1

(b) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA2

(c) an inherited non-founder mutation in BRCA1

- (d) non-hereditary factors
- (e) I do not know the answer to this question

#### Questions 4-7 pertain to the following clinical scenario

Patient A is a 45-year-old white male who presents to your clinic with an unremarkable medical history. He has recently undergone personal genotyping by a DTC genetics company and comes to your office concerned about his risk of getting a heart attack.

According to the company, "numerous SNPs associated with one's chances of a heart attack have been found in the chromosomal region 9p21. [We] report your results for a SNP that is linked to the most strongly associated SNP in this region."

Your patient's genotype for this SNP (rs2383207) is G/G and the DTC genetics company calculates his risk of a heart attack to be elevated at 24.8%, compared to the average risk of 21.2% for men of European descent in the United States.

Based on individuals of European descent genotyped as part of the International HapMap project, the following table shows the genotype frequencies for rs2383207 in the population.

	A/A	A/G	G/G	Total
Frequency	0.183	0.583	0.233	1.00

4. Only taking into account his genotype at this one SNP, what is the **odds ratio** for Patient A getting a heart attack compared to the average man of European descent in the United States?

(a) 1.0

(b) 1.17

(c) 1.23

(d) 1.27

(e) I do not know how to calculate this

5. Patient A has two brothers under your medical care, B and C, both of whom also undergo personal genotyping. Patient B has genotype A/A at rs2383207 and his report indicates a risk of heart attack of 17.5% (i.e.,  $P(D \mid "A/A") = 0.175$ ). Patient C's genotype is A/G. Given your knowledge of the average risk for men of European descent, and of the genotype frequencies at rs2383207 in the European population, what would you expect patient C's risk to be? In other words, what is  $P(D \mid "A/G")$ ?

(a) 17.9%
(b) 20.0%
(c) 21.0%
(d) 21.2%
(e) I do not know how to calculate this

6. Based on their genetics reports, Patient B gloats that his risk for getting a heart attack is less than his brother, Patient A. You look at your medical charts and note that Patient B's total cholesterol level is 250 mg/dL, while Patient A's total cholesterol level is 180 mg/dL. Total cholesterol levels are classified as:

Total cholesterol, mg/dL (mmol/L)		
<200 (5.17)	Desirable	
200 to 239 (5.17 to 6.18)	Borderline High	
≥240 (6.20)	High	

[Adult Treatment Panel III classification. Circulation 2002]

A prospective cohort study examining the relationship between baseline total cholesterol levels and myocardial infarctions among middle-aged men in the United States shows that the risk of MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  is approximately 4 times that of men with cholesterol levels < 200 mg/dL [Stamler et al. *JAMA* 2000]. The mean cholesterol level of subjects in this study was 189.9 mg/dL. Thus, you can assume the odds ratio for an MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  compared to the average man is 4.0.

Again, Patient B's genotype at rs2383207 is "A/A" and P(D | "A/A") = 0.175, and the average man has P(D) = 0.212. Integrate patient B's genetic risk with his environmental risk. Assuming each risk factor is statistically independent, what is patient B's risk of getting a heart attack, taking into account his genotype at rs2383207, and his cholesterol level of 250 mg/dL?

(a) 45.9%

- (b) 52.6%
- (c) 70.0%
- (d) 84.8%
- (e) I do not know how to calculate this

7. List at least 3 reasons why using one's genotype at rs2383207 to assess risk of a myocardial infarction can be problematic:

- rs238207 has modest odds ratio for MI
- Family history is stronger predictor than SNPs for genetic risk
- Only one SNP being used to assess risk, does not capture all of heritability
- rs2383207 is not the GWAS-identified SNP or disease-causing, and linkage disequilibrium

blocks may differ from population to population

- Non-genetic risk factors play a large role in one's risk for MI

#### Section IV: Attitudes and reflections on personal genotyping experience

1. For which of the following reasons did you decide to not undergo personal genotyping (check all that apply):

2. With respect to your feelings towards not undergoing personal genotyping, which of the following best reflects your beliefs:

I have significant regret that I did not undergo it

☐ I have mild regret that I did not undergo it

☐ My feelings are neutral

I am mildly pleased that I did not undergo it

I am significantly pleased that I did not undergo it

3. What was the greatest benefit you experienced by not undergoing personal genotyping? (required comment box)

4. What was the greatest downside you experienced by not undergoing personal genotyping? (required comment box)

5. I experienced anxiety when deciding whether to undergo personal genotyping.

Strongly disagree Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
----------------------------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

6. I believe the opportunity to seek counseling from a healthcare professional (e.g. genetic counselor, medical geneticist, or other physicians) is an important component to a personal genotyping offer.

Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
7. I would get my genome sequenced once it becomes affordable to me.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
8. I would have learned more from Genetics 210 had I undergone personal genotyping instead of using publicly available genotype data.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
9. This course helped me understand what a patient's experience might be like if they chose to undergo personal genotyping.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
10. I felt that the professors knew whether I had undergone personal genotyping.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
11. I felt that I was at a disadvantage in the class because the professors knew whether I had undergone personal genotyping.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
12. With regards to the offer of personal genotyping as part of a curriculum, which of the following best reflects your beliefs:
<ul> <li>Personal genotyping should be required for all medical students and graduate students in the core curriculum (GENE 202/203)</li> <li>Personal genotyping should be made available on an optional basis for all medical students and graduate students in the core curriculum (GENE 202/203)</li> <li>Personal genotyping should be made available on an optional basis for medical students and graduate students in elective classes such as GENE 210</li> <li>Personal genotyping should not be made available as part of a course, but could be offered to students in an optional manner through other venues</li> <li>Personal genotyping should not be made available to students in any formal manner.</li> </ul>

Randomized Code:
Section I: Basic information
1. How many class meetings of Genetics 210 did you attend?
$ \boxed{0} \ \boxed{1} \ \boxed{2} \ \boxed{3} \ \boxed{4} \ \boxed{5} \ \boxed{6} \ \boxed{7} \ \boxed{8} $
Section II: Attitudes about personal genotyping
1. Would you, at this time, recommend a personal genotyping test for a patient?
Yes No
If you answered <b>yes</b> , why (check all that apply)?
<ul> <li>To satisfy general curiosity about their genetic make-up</li> <li>To see if a specific disease runs in their family or is in their DNA</li> <li>To learn about their genetic make-up without going through a physician</li> <li>To inform family members of health risks</li> <li>Individuals have a right to know their genetic make-up if a service is available</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
If you answered <b>no</b> , why not (check all that apply)?
<ul> <li>Limited clinical validity</li> <li>Limited clinical utility</li> <li>Accuracy of genotype data</li> <li>Quality of data analysis / interpretation</li> <li>Array of SNPs / conditions tested</li> <li>Price</li> <li>Individuals have a limited ability to understand and interpret their test results</li> <li>Not enough trained health care providers to help them interpret results</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
2. How would you describe your understanding of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD)?

I have never heard of PGD

I have heard of PGD, but I don't really understand what it is.

I have heard of PGD, but I don't know enough about it to make a decision about whether or not I would consider it

I have heard of PGD, and I know enough about it to make a decision about whether or not I would consider it

3. Under which of the following scenarios, if any, would you consider undergoing PGD? (check all that apply)

<ul> <li>You learned you had a highly penetrant cancer-associated mutation (e.g., BRCA mutation, MSH mutation)</li> <li>You learned you were a carrier for a Mendelian disorder (e.g., cystic fibrosis, Tay-Sach's disease)</li> <li>You learned you had a significantly increased risk for a complex disease (e.g. diabetes heart</li> </ul>
disease)
4. For scenarios under which you <i>would not</i> consider undergoing PGD, which of the following most reflects your reason for not considering PGD?
<ul> <li>Low probability that your child would be affected by the disease</li> <li>Concern about danger to the embryo from biopsy required by PGD</li> <li>Ethical concerns about selecting embryos using genetics</li> <li>The high cost of PGD and <i>in vitro</i> fertilization</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
5. I understand the risks and benefits of using personal genome testing services
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
6. I know enough about genetics to understand personal genome test results
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
7. Personal genomics will likely play an important role in my future career
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
8. Most physicians have enough knowledge to help individuals interpret results of personal genome tests
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
9. Most people can accurately interpret their personal genome test results
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
10. Personal genome testing companies provide an accurate analysis and interpretation of genotype data
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
11. Personal genome testing companies should be regulated by the federal government (i.e., by the Food and Drug Administration)

Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

#### Section III: Knowledge of personal genomic testing

Please select the most appropriate answer choice.

1. In an article published this year in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, whole-genome sequencing was performed on an individual with autosomal recessive Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease. Mutations in the *SH3TC2* gene were identified as the causal mutations. The genotype of the proband was R954X/Y169H. The genetic basis of CMT discovered in this family is an example of:

(a) variable expressivity

(b) incomplete penetrance

(c) genetic mosaicism

(d) compound heterozygosity

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

2. A 34-year-old female of Northern European ancestry presents in your clinic with a strong family history of breast and ovarian cancer on both sides of the family. She brings along the results of her personal genomics testing by a direct-to-consumer (DTC) genetics company. Your patient's DTC genetics profile indicates she does *not* carry any of the 3 *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations tested. Additionally, based on 3 other tested SNPs found to be associated with breast cancer in recent genome-wide association studies, her report reveals a risk of 9.1% compared to the average of 12.5%. What is the appropriate conclusion?

(a) The patient has below-average risk but should continue regular screening measures

(b) The patient has the same risk of breast cancer as the average woman of her ethnicity and should continue regular screening measures

(c) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* gene sequenced (d) The patient has above-average risk and should consider getting her *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes sequenced

(e) I do not know the answer to this question

3. The patient in question 3 is diagnosed with invasive breast cancer at age 38. Her cancer is most likely due to:

(a) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA1

(b) an inherited founder mutation in BRCA2

(c) an inherited non-founder mutation in BRCA1

- (d) non-hereditary factors
- (e) I do not know the answer to this question

#### Questions 4-7 pertain to the following clinical scenario

Patient A is a 45-year-old white male who presents to your clinic with an unremarkable medical history. He has recently undergone personal genotyping by a DTC genetics company and comes to your office concerned about his risk of getting a heart attack.

According to the company, "numerous SNPs associated with one's chances of a heart attack have been found in the chromosomal region 9p21. [We] report your results for a SNP that is linked to the most strongly associated SNP in this region."

Your patient's genotype for this SNP (rs2383207) is G/G and the DTC genetics company calculates his risk of a heart attack to be elevated at 24.8%, compared to the average risk of 21.2% for men of European descent in the United States.

Based on individuals of European descent genotyped as part of the International HapMap project, the following table shows the genotype frequencies for rs2383207 in the population.

	A/A	A/G	G/G	Total
Frequency	0.183	0.583	0.233	1.00

4. Only taking into account his genotype at this one SNP, what is the **odds ratio** for Patient A getting a heart attack compared to the average man of European descent in the United States?

(a) 1.0

(b) 1.17

(c) 1.23

(d) 1.27

(e) I do not know how to calculate this

5. Patient A has two brothers under your medical care, B and C, both of whom also undergo personal genotyping. Patient B has genotype A/A at rs2383207 and his report indicates a risk of heart attack of 17.5% (i.e.,  $P(D \mid "A/A") = 0.175$ ). Patient C's genotype is A/G. Given your knowledge of the average risk for men of European descent, and of the genotype frequencies at rs2383207 in the European population, what would you expect patient C's risk to be? In other words, what is  $P(D \mid "A/G")$ ?

(a) 17.9%
(b) 20.0%
(c) 21.0%
(d) 21.2%
(e) I do not know how to calculate this

6. Based on their genetics reports, Patient B gloats that his risk for getting a heart attack is less than his brother, Patient A. You look at your medical charts and note that Patient B's total cholesterol level is 250 mg/dL, while Patient A's total cholesterol level is 180 mg/dL. Total cholesterol levels are classified as:

Total cholesterol, mg/dL (mmol/L)		
<200 (5.17)	Desirable	
200 to 239 (5.17 to 6.18)	Borderline High	
≥240 (6.20)	High	

[Adult Treatment Panel III classification. Circulation 2002]

A prospective cohort study examining the relationship between baseline total cholesterol levels and myocardial infarctions among middle-aged men in the United States shows that the risk of MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  is approximately 4 times that of men with cholesterol levels < 200 mg/dL [Stamler et al. *JAMA* 2000]. The mean cholesterol level of subjects in this study was 189.9 mg/dL. Thus, you can assume the odds ratio for an MI in men with cholesterol levels  $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$  compared to the average man is 4.0.

Again, Patient B's genotype at rs2383207 is "A/A" and P(D | "A/A") = 0.175, and the average man has P(D) = 0.212. Integrate patient B's genetic risk with his environmental risk. Assuming each risk factor is statistically independent, what is patient B's risk of getting a heart attack, taking into account his genotype at rs2383207, and his cholesterol level of 250 mg/dL?

(a) 45.9%

- (b) 52.6%
- (c) 70.0%
- (d) 84.8%
- (e) I do not know how to calculate this

7. List at least 3 reasons why using one's genotype at rs2383207 to assess risk of a myocardial infarction can be problematic:

- rs238207 has modest odds ratio for MI
- Family history is stronger predictor than SNPs for genetic risk
- Only one SNP being used to assess risk, does not capture all of heritability
- rs2383207 is not the GWAS-identified SNP or disease-causing, and linkage disequilibrium

blocks may differ from population to population

- Non-genetic risk factors play a large role in one's risk for MI

#### Section IV: Attitudes and reflections on personal genotyping experience

1. Which of the following reasons compelled you to undergo personal genotyping (check all that apply):

General curiosity about my genetic makeup
To see if a specific disease runs in my family or is in my DNA
To learn about my genetic makeup without going through a physician
Would use it to provide information about a family member's health risk
To help me understand what patients learn/experience
To help me understand principles of human genetics
Other
Of these reasons, which was the <i>most</i> compelling (choose <b>one</b> ):
General curiosity about my genetic makeup
To see if a specific disease runs in my family or is in my DNA
To learn about my genetic makeup without going through a physician
Would use it to provide information about a family member's health risk
To help me understand what patients learn/experience
To help me understand principles of human genetics

2. With respect to your feelings towards undergoing personal genotyping, which of the following best reflects your beliefs:

I have significant regret that I underwent it

□ I have mild regret that I underwent it

☐ My feelings are neutral

□ I am mildly pleased that I underwent it

I am significantly pleased that I underwent it

3. What was the greatest benefit you experienced by undergoing personal genotyping? (required comment box)

4. What was the greatest downside you experienced by undergoing personal genotyping? (required comment box)

5. I experienced anxiety when deciding whether to undergo personal genotyping

Strongly disagree Disagr	e 🗌 Neither agree nor disagree	Agree S	strongly agree
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	----------------

6. I experienced anxiety when awaiting my personal genotyping test results

Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

7. I experienced anxiety after I received my personal genotyping test results

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

8. I believe the opportunity to ask a healthcare professional (e.g. genetic counselor, medical geneticist, or other physicians) for help in interpreting the results is an important component to a personal genotyping offer.

Strongly disagree Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
----------------------------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

9. Have you or do you plan to ask a healthcare professional for help in interpreting your genotyping results?

Yes, I already have	Yes, I plan to	No, I do not plan to
---------------------	----------------	----------------------

If you answered **yes**, which type(s) of healthcare provider (check all that apply):

My primary physician (internist)

- A subspecialty physician (e.g. cardiologist, neurologist)
- A geneticist

A genetic counselor

And what type of information have you or do you plan to share?

All of my result
------------------

Only results of specific high-risk alleles

Only results of specific low-risk alleles

- Only pharmacogenetic testing results
- Only results related to condition(s) known in my family history

10. Please check any of the following actions that you have taken *specifically* as a result of receiving your genotyping results:

Set up or attended an appointment with my primary care doctor

Cancelled an appointment with my primary care doctor because of my decreased risks

Set up or attended an appointment with a specialist doctor to discuss a condition I am at high risk to develop

Set up an appointment for or underwent a screening test that I would not otherwise have had

Cancelled an appointment for a screening test because of my decreased risks

Discussed a medication change with my physician

Enacted a medication change after talking with my physician

Quit smoking

Changed my diet in a positive manner due to elevated risks

Changed my diet in a negative manner due to decreased risks

Increased my exercise habits

Begun taking vitamins and/or homeopathic remedies

Contemplated diet, exercise or smoking habits, but have not yet made changes

Performed internet research on the condition for which I am at risk

Talked with family members about my genotyping results

Talked with family members to learn more about my family history

I have not undertaken any of these actions

11. For the actions you selected in the previous question, which of the following best describes your thoughts about it prior to genotyping:

The action(s) were things that I was already planning to do, or was actively doing The action(s) were things that I had previously attempted and the genotyping results moved me to try again

The action(s) were things that I had previously contemplated but not attempted, and the genotyping results moved me to attempt them

The action(s) were not things that I had previously contemplated and the genotyping results moved me to contemplate them

I am in the process of collecting more information to try to decide what actions, if any, are appropriate

I have not taken any actions specifically as a result of my genotyping results

12. On the basis of my own experience, I would recommend personal genotyping to others

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor	disagree Agree	Strongly agree

13. I would get my genome sequenced once it becomes affordable to me.

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree no	or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	------------------	-------------	-------	----------------

14. I believe I have a better understanding of principles of human genetics on the basis of having undergone personal genotyping

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagr	ee 🗌 Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	--------------------------	------------	----------------

15. Undergoing personal genotyping was an important part of my learning in Genetics 210

Strongly disagree [	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagr	ee 🗌 Agree	Strongly agree
---------------------	----------	--------------------------	------------	----------------

16. I would have learned just as much from Genetics 210 had I *not* undergone personal genotyping and used only publicly available genotype data.

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor	disagree Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	-------------------	----------------	----------------

17. This course helped me understand what a patient's experience might be like if they chose to	)
undergo personal genotyping.	

Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
18. I was able to easily go back and forth between my personal genotype dataset and the provided publicly available dataset as I worked on data analysis exercises.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
19. I felt that the professors knew whether I had undergone personal genotyping.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
20. I felt that I was at a disadvantage in the class because the professors knew whether I had undergone personal genotyping.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
21. I felt required to divulge my genotype information in order to ask questions of the professors in Genetics 210.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
22. I felt comfortable divulging my genotype information in order to ask questions of the professors in Genetics 210.
Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
23. With regards to the offer of personal genotyping as part of a curriculum, which of the following best reflects your beliefs:
□ Personal genotyping should be required for all medical students and graduate students in the core curriculum (GENE 202/203)
□ Personal genotyping should be made available on an optional basis for all medical students and graduate students in the core curriculum (GENE 202/203)
Personal genotyping should be made available on an optional basis for medical students and graduate students in elective classes such as GENE 210
Personal genotyping should not be made available as part of a course, but could be offered to students in an optional manner through other venues

Personal genotyping should not be made available to students in any formal manner.