# **Supplementary Information**

Preclinical development of a miR-132 inhibitor for heart failure treatment; by Ariana Foinquinos, Sandor Batkai, et al.



Supplementary Figure 1 Proteomic profiling after miR-132 overexpression. a, Study outline for the treatment of neonatal rat cardiomyocytes (NRCM) with premiR-132 and placebo (premiR-control) (100 nM). b, Volcano plot depicting dysregulated proteins. c, Bioinformatic protein enrichment analysis of the dysregulated proteins after premiR-132 treatment. n=3 per group. P values are generated by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test.



Supplementary Figure 2 Distribution of miR-132 expression among cardiac cell fractions. a, Distribution of miR-132 in cell fractions of murine heart: Cardiomyocytes (CM), cardiac fibroblasts (CF) and endothelial cells (EC). n=6. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.



Supplementary Figure 3 Animal filtering strategy in proof-of-concept study in a large animal model of post-MI HF. a, 135 animals were assigned to either the intracoronary/intravenous (ICIV) or the intravenous/intravenous (IVIV) treatment arm and within these arms, animals were randomly assigned to the dosing groups (Placebo: NaCl, Low dose: 1 mg/kg, Medium dose: 5 mg/kg, High dose: 10 mg/kg). In total, 20 animals were considered as dropouts: 17 animals deceased within 48h post-myocardial infarction (MI) prior to the initiation of treatment, two animals deceased during the study due to non-drug-related issues. Overall, 115 animals reached the study endpoint at day 56. Due to biological (coronary anatomy) and technical variability, 36 surviving animals developed limited cardiac damage and post-MI ventricular dysfunction, defined as ejection fraction (EF)  $\geq$  40% at day 3 post-MI, and have been excluded. For the final data analysis of this study 79 animals were considered. The individual *n* number of each group is shown in this figure. **b**, Troponin-T levels at day 3 for different dosing groups of ICIV and IVIV treated animals. Placebo: *n*=22, Low dose: *n*=20, High dose: *n*=17. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison (Placebo vs. treatment groups).

а



Supplementary Figure 4 Functional analysis in proof-of-concept study in a large animal model of post-MI HF. a, Ejection fraction (EF) at baseline, day 3 and day 56 for different dosing groups of intracoronary/intravenous (ICIV) treated animals. b, EF at baseline, day 3 and day 56 for different dosing groups of intravenous/intravenous (IVIV) treated animals. c. Functional improvement indicated by EF change from day 3 to day 56 (delta EF) for different dosing groups of ICIV treated animals. d, Responder analysis for different dosing groups of ICIV treated animals. e, Functional improvement indicated by EF change from day 3 to day 56 (delta EF) for different dosing groups of IVIV treated animals. f, Responder analysis for different dosing groups of IVIV treated animals. g, End-systolic volume (ESV) at baseline, day 3 and day 56 for different dosing groups of both ICIV and IVIV treated animals. h, Functional improvement indicated by ESV change from day 3 to day 56 (delta ESV) for different dosing groups of both ICIV and IVIV treated animals. Linear trend was statistically significant. Placebo: NaCl; Low = 1 mg/kg, Medium = 5 mg/kg and High = 10 mg/kg antimiR-132. ICIV: Placebo: n=10, Low dose: n=9, Medium dose: n=10, High dose: n=10. IVIV: Placebo: n=12, Low dose: n=11, Medium dose: n=10, High dose: n=7. ICIV and IVIV: Placebo: n=22, Low dose: n=20, Medium dose: n=20, High dose: n=17. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.0.001; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test (d3 vs. d56) or Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunnett's multiple comparison (Placebo vs. treatment groups).  $^{\#}P = 0.0058$ ; trend analysis using ANOVA, posttest for trend.

#### **Supplementary Figure 4**



Supplementary Figure 5 PK/PD relationship in proof-of-concept study in a large animal model of post-MI HF. a, Correlation between antimiR-132 tissue levels in the left ventricular (LV) remote region and functional improvement of intracoronary/intravenous (ICIV) treated animals (delta ejection fraction (EF) =  $EF_{day 56} - EF_{day 3}$ ). b, Functional tissue level of miR-132 detected in the LV remote region of ICIV treated animals. c, Correlation between antimiR-132 tissue levels in the LV remote region and functional improvement of intravenous/ intravenous (IVIV) treated animals (delta EF =  $EF_{day 56} - EF_{day 3}$ ). d, Functional tissue level of miR-132 detected in the LV remote region of IVIV treated animals. Placebo: NaCl; Low = 1 mg/kg, Medium = 5 mg/kg and High = 10 mg/kg antimiR-132. ICIV: Placebo: *n*=10, Low dose: *n*=9, Medium dose: *n*=10, High dose: *n*=10. IVIV: Placebo: *n*=12, Low dose: *n*=11, Medium dose: *n*=10, High dose: *n*=7. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m; \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunnett's multiple comparison and linear regression using non-parametric Spearman correlation.



Supplementary Figure 6 Safety data of antimiR-132 in proof-of-concept study in a large animal model of post-MI HF. a, Lab chemistry parameters in plasma at baseline, day 3, day 28 and day 56 for different dosing groups of intracoronary/intravenous (ICIV) and intravenous/intravenous (IVIV) treated animals. b, Inflammatory marker gene expression (Tumor necrosis factor alpha, *TNFAa*, Interleukin-6, *IL*-6). c, Area at risk at day 3 for different dosing groups of ICIV and IVIV treated animals. d, Scar size at day 3 and day 56 for different dosing groups of ICIV and IVIV treated animals. d, Scar size at day 3 and day 56 for different dosing groups of ICIV and IVIV treated animals. Placebo: NaCl; Low = 1 mg/kg, Medium = 5 mg/kg and High = 10 mg/kg antimiR-132. ICIV and IVIV: Placebo: n=22, Low dose: n=20, Medium dose: n=20, High dose: n=17. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison.



**Supplementary Figure 7 Development of target engagement panel for antimiR-132. a**, Study outline of miR-132 target capture using synthetic biotinylated miR-132-3p-duplex (10 nM transfected for 36h) and target de-repression after treatment with antimiR-132 (100 nM for 48h) performed in cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC). **b**, **c** Volcano plot depicting RNA sequencing results of differentially regulated transcripts after target capture or

de-repression compared to untreated control cells. n=3 per group. **d**, Gene enrichment analysis of overlapping genes upregulated in both datasets identified pathways highly associated with the cardiovascular system and disease. **e**, Potential target gene expression in antimiR-132 treated iPSC-cardiomyocytes (Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, T type, alpha 1G subunit, *CACNA1G*; Bcl-2-like protein 11, *BCL2L11*; Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase 3, *NOS3*; SCL/TAL1 Interrupting Locus, *STIL*; TEK Receptor Tyrosine Kinase, *TEK*). Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. \**P* < 0.05, \*\*\**P* < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test.

	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132
LV ejection fraction (%)	$51.4\pm4.2$	$32.3 \pm 1.4$	$46 \pm 1.7^{**}$
Fractional shortening (%)	$26.4\pm2.6$	$15.3\pm0.7$	$22.9 \pm 1^{**}$
Cardiac output (µl/min)	$17.7\pm1.2$	$15\pm0.7$	$20.7\pm0.7^{**}$
Cardiac mass (mg)	$77.5\pm4.3$	$117.6\pm2.1$	$102.9\pm5.6$
End-diastolic volume (µl)	$82.6\pm5.1$	$113.3\pm3.6$	$99.9 \pm 6.4$
End-systolic volume (µl)	$41.1\pm5.2$	$77 \pm 3.8$	$54.3 \pm 4.9 **$
Stroke volume (µl)	$41.6\pm3$	$36.3 \pm 1$	$45.6 \pm 1.9 **$
End-diastolic diameter (mm)	$4.3\pm0.1$	$4.9\pm0.1$	$4.6\pm0.1$
End-systolic diameter (mm)	$3.2\pm0.2$	$4.2\pm0.1$	$3.6\pm0.1^{\ast\ast}$
Heart rate (bpm)	$428.1 \pm 16.7$	$411.6 \pm 15.9$	$454.7 \pm 14.2$

Supplementary Table 1 Measurement of murine left ventricular function in a model of heart failure.

\*\*P < 0.01 between TG + Placebo and TG + antimiR-132; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

		1 Hz			3 Hz	
	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132
Diastolic baseline ratio	$1.06\pm0.02$	$0.93\pm0.06$	$0.94 \pm 0.04$	$1.18\pm0.03$	$1.05\pm0.06$	$1.02\pm0.04$
Ratio amplitude	$0.34\pm0.03$	$0.46\pm0.12$	$0.45\pm0.05$	$0.35\pm0.03$	$0.52\pm0.13$	$0.49\pm0.04$
Time to peak (ms)	$23.7\pm0.9$	$31.3\pm2.3$	$26.8 \pm 1.3$	$23.5\pm0.8$	$31.6\pm1.8$	$25.5\pm1^{**}$
10% Time to peak (ms)	$2\pm0.1$	$2.6\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm0.3$	$2.4\pm0.2$	$4.6\pm0.6$	$3.5\pm0.6$
50% Time to peak (ms)	$7.3\pm0.2$	$9.5\pm0.5$	$8.5\pm0.5$	$7.6\pm0.3$	$10.8\pm0.6$	$8.9\pm0.5^{\ast\ast}$
90% Time to peak (ms)	$14.8\pm0.6$	$18.9 \pm 1.3$	$15.8\pm0.8$	$15.1\pm0.8$	$20.4 \pm 1.2$	$16.3\pm0.8^{**}$
Half decay time (ms)	$163.5\pm7.5$	$158.1 \pm 17.3$	$157.3\pm7.6$	$86.9\pm2.4$	$86.8\pm5.1$	$80.7\pm1.9$
Ratio increase rate (1/s)	$86.4\pm2.8$	$65.1\pm3.7$	$81.1 \pm 3.8*$	$92.9 \pm 2$	$79 \pm 4.1$	$88 \pm 2.4$
Number of animals/cells	6/60	3/18	3/31	6/46	3/19	3/26

Supplementary Table 2 Parameters of cardiomyocyte calcium transients at different stimulation frequencies.

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 between TG + Placebo and TG + antimiR-132; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

Supplementary Table 3 Cardiomyocyte contraction and relaxation parameters at different stimulation frequencies.

		1 Hz			3 Hz			5 Hz	
	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132	WT	TG + Placebo	TG + antimiR-132
Diastolic sarcomere length (µm)	$1.79\pm0.01$	$1.76\pm0.01$	$1.78\pm0.01*$	$1.79\pm0.01$	$1.76\pm0.01$	$1.78\pm0.01$	$1.79\pm0.01$	$1.76\pm0.01$	$1.77\pm0.01$
Contraction amplitude (µm)	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$
Time to peak (ms)	$37.8 \pm 1.1$	$45.6\pm1.4$	$43.7\pm1.7$	$31.1 \pm 1$	$41.7\pm1.2$	$38.1 \pm 1.2 *$	$29.5\pm0.8$	$39.9 \pm 1.1$	$36.6 \pm 1.2$
10% Time to peak (ms)	$7.1\pm0.3$	$10.6\pm0.5$	$8.7 \pm 0.7^{**}$	$7\pm0.4$	$10.7\pm0.4$	$8.3\pm0.4^{***}$	$6.6 \pm 0.3$	$11.8\pm0.5$	$8.7\pm0.5^{***}$
50% Time to peak (ms)	$18.8\pm0.6$	$23.3\pm0.7$	$21.1\pm0.9$	$15.3\pm0.5$	$21.6\pm0.6$	$18.7\pm0.7^{**}$	$14.9\pm0.5$	$21.5\pm0.6$	$18.4 \pm 0.7^{***}$
90% Time to peak (ms)	$30.5\pm0.9$	$36.5\pm1.1$	$35 \pm 1.6$	$24.3\pm0.8$	$32.6\pm0.9$	$29.5\pm1*$	$23.1\pm0.7$	$31.6\pm0.8$	$28.7\pm0.9*$
Half relaxation time (ms)	$24.1\pm0.7$	$26.8\pm1.2$	$25.9 \pm 1.2$	$22.4\pm0.8$	$24.7\pm1.2$	$25.2\pm1.3$	$20.5\pm0.7$	$22.6\pm1$	$23.3\pm1.3$
Contraction rate (1/s)	$42 \pm 1.2$	$37.7\pm1.2$	$39.7 \pm 1.6$	$54.9 \pm 1.8$	$45.3\pm1.3$	$46.4\pm1.5$	$58 \pm 1.3$	$46.3\pm1.4$	$49.4 \pm 1.6$
Number of animals/cells	5/57	4/62	3/38	5/52	4/57	3/42	5/54	4/55	3/39

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 between TG + Placebo and TG + antimiR-132; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

Placebo Low dose Medium dose High dose Baseline Day 3 Baseline Day 3 Baseline Day 3 Day 56 Baseline Day 3 Day 56 Day 56 Day 56 LV ejection fraction (%)  $53.9\pm0.8$  $37.8\pm0.6$  $37.1 \pm 1.6$  $54.7 \pm 1$  $38\pm0.5$  $40.7\pm1.4*$  $53.7\pm1.2$  $38.2\pm0.3$ 45.3 ± 1.1\*\*  $54.2\pm0.9$  $37.9\pm0.4$ 43.8 ± 1.1\*\*\* LV mass (g)  $58.6\pm3.3$  $61.4\pm3.6$  $64.1\pm8.9$  $52.8\pm4.4$  $61.6\pm4.4$  $76.6\pm7.2$  $53.3\pm3.6$  $62.2\pm4.2$  $72.4\pm4.6$  $57.5\pm4.5$  $60.1\pm3.5$  $66.2\pm6.7$ End-diastolic volume (ml)  $82.1\pm3.7*$  $68.2\pm5.2$  $68.9\pm3.7$  $69.9\pm5$  $73.2\pm3.8$  $84.5\pm4$  $68.5\pm4$  $68.7\pm2.8$  $80.1 \pm 1.8 **$  $67.2\pm3.3$  $67.8\pm3.8$  $78.6\pm3.8$ LVEDV Index (ml/kg)  $2.4\pm0.2$  $2.5\pm0.1$  $2.8\pm0.2$  $2.5\pm0.1$  $2.6\pm0.1$  $2.7\pm0.1$  $2.4\pm0.2$  $2.4\pm0.1$  $2.4\pm0.1$  $2.5\pm0.1$  $2.5\pm0.2$  $2.5\pm0.1$ End-systolic volume (ml)  $42.4 \pm 1.7$  $31.7\pm2.7$  $42.9\pm2.4$  $51.6\pm2.3^*$  $31.9\pm2.7$  $45.3\pm2.3$  $50.3\pm3.2$  $31.8\pm2$  $43.8 \pm 1.4$  $30.9 \pm 1.8$  $42.2\pm2.5$  $44.3\pm2.6$ LVESV Index (ml/kg)  $1.1\pm0.1$  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  $1.1\pm0.1$  $1.5\pm0.1$  $1.3 \pm 0.1$  $1.4 \pm 0.1$  $1.7 \pm 0.1$  $1.1\pm0.1$  $1.1\pm0.1$  $1.6 \pm 0.1$ Stroke volume (ml)  $36.5\pm2.6$  $26\pm1.3$  $30.6 \pm 2.1$  $38\pm2.4$  $28\pm1.5$  $34.2\pm1.7*$  $36.8\pm2.2$  $26.3 \pm 1.1$  $36.3 \pm 1.1 ***$  $36.3\pm1.7$  $25.6\pm1.4$  $34.3 \pm 1.6^{***}$ Cardiac output (l/min)  $2.2\pm0.2$  $2.9\pm0.1^{**}$  $3.5\pm0.3$  $2.4\pm0.3$  $2.2 \pm 0.2$  $3.9 \pm 0.3$  $2.2\pm0.1$  $2.6\pm0.1$  $3.5\pm0.3$  $3.4\pm0.2$  $2.4 \pm 0.2$  $2.6\pm0.2$ Heart Rate (bpm)  $97.2\pm6.4$  $89\pm 6.9$  $71.2\pm4.4$  $99.7\pm4.6$  $80.7 \pm 4.9$  $77.8\pm5.5$  $94.4\pm3.2$  $86.3\pm3.7$  $79.3\pm2.4$  $95.5\pm3.5$  $92.7 \pm 5$  $76.5\pm5.4^*$ 

Supplementary Table 4 Serial measurement of left ventricular (LV) function by cMRI of animals treated ICIV in a pig model post-MI HF.

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 between Day 56 and Day 3 per dosing group; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

Placebo Low dose Medium dose High dose Baseline Day 3 Baseline Baseline Day 3 Day 56 Baseline Day 3 Day 56 Day 3 Day 56 Day 56 LV ejection fraction (%)  $54.3 \pm 1.1$  $37.5\pm0.4$  $36.9 \pm 1.6$  $55.2\pm1.3$  $\phantom{0.0}37\pm 0.9\phantom{.0}$  $38.2\pm1.6$  $\textbf{37.9} \pm \textbf{0.6}$  $41.9\pm2.5$  $55.5 \pm 1.3$  $34.4\pm2.1$  $44.1\pm2.9^*$  $54\pm0.7$ LV mass (g)  $47.9\pm3$  $55.7\pm2.3$  $60.9\pm3.3$  $48.2\pm2.6$  $55.8\pm3.3$  $62.3\pm3.2$  $44.8\pm2$  $50.3\pm2.2$ 59.8 ± 3.5\*\*  $41.9\pm2.4$  $51.9\pm5.2$  $57.9\pm3.8$ End-diastolic volume (ml)  $56.4\pm3.6$  $61.5\pm3.5$  $74.3\pm3.8^*$  $57.3\pm4$  $62.1\pm4.1$  $85\pm5.4^{**}$  $52.3\pm3.1$  $55.9\pm3.5$  $67.5\pm6.8$  $56\pm3$  $57.7\pm3.5$  $68.2\pm5.1$ LVEDV Index (ml/kg)  $2.2\pm0.2$  $2.4\pm0.1$  $2.6\pm0.1$  $2.1\pm0.1$  $2.3\pm0.1$  $2.6\pm0.1$  $2.1\pm0.1$  $2.2\pm0.1$  $2.3\pm0.2$  $2.3\pm0.2$  $2.5\pm0.2$  $2.5\pm0.2$ End-systolic volume (ml)  $52.9 \pm 4.1 **$  $25.8 \pm 1.8$  $38.5\pm2.2$  $47.2\pm3.3$  $25.7\pm2$  $39.2\pm2.7$  $24.2\pm1.6$  $34.6\pm2.1$  $39.1\pm4$  $25\pm1.6$  $38.1\pm3.2$  $38.6\pm4.3$ LVESV Index (ml/kg)  $1 \pm 0.1$  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  $0.9\pm0.1$  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  $1\pm0.1$  $1.4\pm0.1$  $1.4 \pm 0.1$  $1.6 \pm 0.2$  $1.4 \pm 0.2$  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  $1.6 \pm 0.1$  $1 \pm 0.1$ Stroke volume (ml)  $30.6 \pm 1.9$  $23\pm1.3$  $27 \pm 1.4 *$  $31.7\pm2.4$  $22.9 \pm 1.5$  $32.1 \pm 1.9^{**}$  $28.2\pm1.5$  $21.2\pm1.5$  $28.4\pm3.3$  $31\pm1.7$  $19.6 \pm 1.3$  $29.6\pm2^{**}$ Cardiac output (l/min)  $2.9\pm0.3^*$  $3.3\pm0.3$  $2.1\pm0.1$  $2.1\pm0.2$  $2.9 \pm 0.2$  $2.1 \pm 0.2$  $2.6\pm0.1$  $1.9\pm0.1$  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  $3.1\pm0.3$  $1.4 \pm 0.2$  $2.2 \pm 0.2*$ Heart Rate (bpm)  $107.6\pm6.6$  $94.4\pm4.5$  $79.1\pm5.6$  $94.5\pm7.3$  $91.6\pm7.2$  $90.9 \pm 10.7$  $94.7\pm7.3$  $91.7\pm4.3$  $82.3\pm4.3$  $98.8 \pm 8.6$  $67.8 \pm 6.9$  $74.8\pm5.5$ 

Supplementary Table 5 Serial measurement of left ventricular (LV) function by cMRI of animals treated IVIV in a pig model post-MI HF.

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 between Day 56 and Day 3 per dosing group; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

Supplementary Table 6 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56. (ICIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

	Placebo	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
delta LV ejection fraction (%)	$-0.7\pm1.2$	$2.6 \pm 1.2$	7.1 ± 1.3**	$6 \pm 1.3*$
delta End-diastolic volume (ml)	$13.2\pm4.8$	$11.3\pm4.4$	$11.5\pm3.6$	$10.8\pm4.8$
delta End-systolic volume (ml)	$8.7\pm3.1$	$5 \pm 3.5$	$1.4\pm2.7$	$2.1\pm3.1$

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison.

Supplementary Table 7 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56. (IVIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

	Placebo	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
delta LV ejection fraction (%)	$-0.6 \pm 1.6$	$1.2 \pm 1.6$	$4.1 \pm 2.1$	$9.8 \pm 1.8^{**}$
delta End-diastolic volume (ml)	$12.8\pm3.5$	$23 \pm 4$	$11.6 \pm 5$	$10.6\pm3.8$
delta End-systolic volume (ml)	$8.7\pm3.3$	$13.7 \pm 3$	$4.5\pm3.1$	$0.5 \pm 2.4$

\*\*P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison.

Supplementary Table 8 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56 relative to Placebo. (ICIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

	Relative to Placebo				
	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose		
delta LV ejection fraction (%)	$3.3 \pm 1.2$	$7.8 \pm 1.3$	$6.6 \pm 1.3$		
delta End-diastolic volume (ml)	$-1.7 \pm 4.4$	$-1.5 \pm 3.6$	$-2.2 \pm 4.8$		
delta End-systolic volume (ml)	$-3.7\pm3.5$	$-7.3 \pm 2.7$	$-6.6\pm3.1$		

Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.

Supplementary Table 9 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56 relative to Placebo. (IVIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

-	Relative to Placebo	D
Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
$1.9\pm1.6$	$4.7\pm2.1$	$10.4\pm1.8$
$10 \pm 4$	$-1.4 \pm 5$	$-2.4 \pm 3.8$
$5\pm3$	$-4.2 \pm 3.1$	$-8.2 \pm 2.4$
	Low dose 1.9 ± 1.6 10 ± 4 5 ± 3	Kelative to Placebo   Low dose Medium dose   1.9 ± 1.6 4.7 ± 2.1   10 ± 4 -1.4 ± 5   5 ± 3 -4.2 ± 3.1

Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.

Supplementary Table 10 Serial measurement of left ventricular (LV) function by cMRI of animals treated ICIV and IVIV in a pig model post-MI HF.

		Placebo			Low dose		Ι	Medium dos	e		High dose	
	Baseline	Day 3	Day 56	Baseline	Day 3	Day 56	Baseline	Day 3	Day 56	Baseline	Day 3	Day 56
LV ejection fraction (%)	$54.1\pm0.7$	$37.6\pm 0.3$	$37 \pm 1.1$	$55\pm0.8$	$37.5\pm0.5$	$39.3 \pm 1.1$	$53.9\pm0.7$	$38.1\pm0.3$	$43.6 \pm 1.4^{***}$	$54.7\pm0.7$	$36.4\pm1$	$44\pm1.3^{\ast\ast\ast}$
LV mass (g)	$52.8\pm2.4$	$58.3\pm2.1$	$62.3\pm4.3$	$50.1\pm2.4$	$58.4\pm2.7$	$68.7\pm3.9$	$49\pm2.3$	$56.3\pm2.7$	$66.1 \pm 3.2 **$	$51.1\pm3.4$	$56.7\pm3$	$62.8\pm4.3$
End-diastolic volume (ml)	$61.8\pm3.3$	$64.9\pm2.6$	$77.8 \pm 2.8 **$	$62.6\pm3.4$	$67.1\pm3$	$84.8 \pm 3.4 ***$	$60.4\pm3.1$	$62.3\pm2.6$	$73.8\pm3.7*$	$62.6\pm2.6$	$63.6\pm2.9$	$74.3\pm3.2*$
LVEDV Index (ml/kg)	$2.3\pm0.1$	$2.4\pm0.1$	$2.7\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.1$	$2.5\pm0.1$	$2.6\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.1$	$2.4\pm0.1$	$2.4\pm0.1$	$2.5\pm0.1$	$2.5\pm0.1$
End-systolic volume (ml)	$28.5\pm1.7$	$40.5\pm1.7$	$49.2\pm2.1 ^{\ast\ast}$	$28.3 \pm 1.7$	$41.9 \pm 1.9$	$51.7 \pm 2.6^{**}$	$28\pm1.5$	$38.5\pm1.6$	$41.5\pm2.1$	$28.5\pm1.4$	$40.5\pm2$	$41.9\pm2.4$
LVESV Index (ml/kg)	$1\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm0.1$	$1\pm 0.1$	$1.5\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.1$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.1$
Stroke volume (ml)	$33.3 \pm 1.7$	$24.4\pm1$	$28.6 \pm 1.3 \ast$	$34.4 \pm 1.8$	$25.2\pm1.2$	33 ± 1.3***	$32.5\pm1.7$	$23.7\pm1.1$	$32.4\pm1.9^{\ast\ast\ast}$	$34.1 \pm 1.3$	$23.1\pm1.2$	$32.4 \pm 1.3^{***}$
Cardiac output (l/min)	$3.4\pm 0.2$	$2.2\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm0.1$	$3.3\pm0.2$	$2.1\pm0.1$	$2.7\pm0.2^{\ast\ast}$	$3\pm0.2$	$2.1\pm0.1$	$2.6\pm0.2^{\ast\ast}$	$3.3\pm0.2$	$2\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm0.2$
Heart Rate (bpm)	$102.9\pm4.7$	$91.9\pm3.9$	$75.5 \pm 3.7 **$	$96.7\pm4.6$	$86.7\pm4.6$	$85\pm 6.4$	$94.5\pm3.9$	$89\pm2.8$	$80.8\pm2.4$	$96.9\pm4$	$82.4\pm5$	$75.8\pm3.8$

\*P < 0.05. \*\*P < 0.01. \*\*\*P < 0.001 between Day 56 and Day 3 per dosing group; unpaired two-sided Mann-Whitney U test.

Supplementary Table 11 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56 (ICIV and IVIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

	Placebo	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
delta LV ejection fraction (%)	$-0.7\pm1$	$1.9 \pm 1$	$5.6\pm1.2^{\ast\ast\ast}$	$7.5 \pm 1.1^{***}$
delta End-diastolic volume (ml)	$13\pm2.8$	$17.7\pm3.2$	$11.6 \pm 3$	$10.7\pm3.1$
delta End-systolic volume (ml)	$8.7\pm2.2$	$9.8\pm2.4$	$2.9\pm2$	$1.5\pm2$

\*\*\*P < 0.001; Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison.

Supplementary Table 12 Changes in left ventricular (LV) function between day 3 and day 56 relative to Placebo. (ICIV and IVIV treated animals) in a pig model post-MI HF.

		Relative to Placebo	D			
	Low dose Medium dose High dose					
delta LV ejection fraction (%)	$2.5 \pm 1$	$6.3 \pm 1.2$	$8.2 \pm 1$			
delta End-diastolic volume (ml)	$4.7\pm3$	$-1.4 \pm 3$	$-2.3 \pm 2.9$			
delta End-systolic volume (ml)	$1.1 \pm 2.3$	$-5.8 \pm 2$	$-7.3\pm1.9$			

Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.