

Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1. Organization of evaluators and case assignment

	Evaluation 1	Evaluation 2	
			Participants assigned to each pair of evaluators
First Pair	Ecuador (JM)	Brazil (OT)	<p>Cases: 1-31</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=9 • Ecuador n=5 • Mexico n=17 • Bolivia n=0 <p>Controls: 1-31</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=0 • Ecuador n=10 • Mexico n=19 • Bolivia n=2
Second Pair	Mexico (DSJ)	Brazil (CLR)	<p>Cases: 32-63</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=17 • Ecuador n=0 • Mexico n=14 • Bolivia n=0 <p>Controls: 32-63</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=8 • Ecuador n=13

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mexico n=10 • Bolivia n=0
Third Pair	Ecuador (MS)	Mexico (GC)	<p>Cases: 64-93</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=3 • Ecuador n=21 • Mexico n=7 • Bolivia n=0 <p>Controls: 64-93</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brazil n=2 • Ecuador n=2 • Mexico n=25 • Bolivia n=1

Supplementary Table 2. Inter-rater reliability of the new diagnostic criteria (NCC vs. no NCC)

	Kappa (95% CI)
First pair reviewers (cases 1-31; controls 1-31)	0.58 (0.38, 0.78)
Second pair reviewers (cases 32-63; controls 32-63)	0.61 (0.41, 0.82)
Third pair reviewers (cases 64-93; controls 64-93)	0.59 (0.40, 0.79)
Overall Kappa of all pairs (Evaluation 1 and 2)	0.60 (0.49, 0.72)